Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk
No		GOVERNANCE	Ranking
1	GOV1	Pension Fund Objectives are not defined and agreed leading to lack of focus of strategy to facilitate the aims of the LGPS.	3
2	GOV2	Frequent and/or extensive turnover of committee members causing a loss of technical and operational knowledge about the Fund and an inexperienced Committee/Board.	16
3	GOV3	Members have insufficient knowledge of regulations, guidance and best practice to make good decisions.	12
4	GOV4	Member non-attendance at training events.	8
5	GOV5	Officers lack the knowledge and skills required to effectively advise elected members and/or carry out administrative duties.	4
6	GOV6	Committee members have undisclosed conflicts of interest.	3
7	GOV7	The Committee's decision making process is too rigid to allow for the making of expedient decisions leading to an inability to respond to problems and/or to exploit opportunities.	4
8	GOV8	Known risks not monitored leading to adverse financial, reputational or resource impact.	4
9	GOV9	Failure to recognise new Risks and/or opportunities.	4
10	GOV10	Weak procurement process leads to legal challenge or failure to secure the best value for the value when procuring new services.	5
11	GOV11	Failure to review existing contracts means that opportunities are not exploited.	4

Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking
		INVESTMENTS	
41	INV1	That the assumptions underlying the Investment and Funding Strategies are inconsistent.	10
42	INV2	That Fund liabilities are not correctly understood and as a consequence assets are not allocated appropriately.	5
43	INV3	Incorrect understanding of employer characteristics e.g. strength of covenant.	10
44	INV4	The Fund doesn't take expert advice when determining Investment Strategy.	5
45	INV5	Strategic investment advice received from Investment Consultants is either incorrect or inappropriate for Fund.	10
46	INV6	Investment Manager Risk - this includes both the risk that the wrong manager is appointed and /or that the manager doesn't follow the investment approach set out in the Investment Management agreement.	10
47	INV7	Relevant information relating to investments is not communicated to the Committee in accordance with the Fund's Governance arrangements.	4
48	INV8	The risks associated with the Fund's assets are not understood resulting in the Fund taking either too much or too little risk to achieve its funding objective.	10
49	INV9	Actual asset allocations move away from strategic benchmark.	12
50	INV10	No modelling of liabilities and cash flow is undertaken.	5
51	INV11	The risk that the investment strategy adopted by London CIV through fund manager appointments does not fully meet the needs of the Fund.	15

Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk
No			Ranking

		GOVERNANCE	
12	GOV12	Weak process and policies around communicating with a scheme members and employers means that decisions are not available for scrutiny.	3
13	GOV13	Lack of engagement from employers/members means that communicating decisions becomes a "tick box" exercise and accountability is not real.	9
14	GOV14	Failure to comply with legislation and regulations leads to illegal actions/decisions resulting in financial loss and / or reputational damage	5
15	GOV15	Failure to comply with guidance issued by The Pensions Regulator (TPR) and Scheme Advisory Board (SAB), or other bodies, resulting in reputational damage.	10
16	GOV16	Pension fund asset pooling restricts Haringey Pension Fund's ability to fully implement a desired mandate	5
17	GOV17	The Fund adopts and follows ill-suited investment strategy.	10

Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk
No			Ranking

		COMMUNICATION	
52	COM1	Members don't make an informed decision when exercising their pension options whilst employers cannot make informed decisions when exercising their discretions leading to possible complaints and appeals against the Fund	12
53	COM2	Communication is overcomplicated and technical leading to a lack of engagement and understanding by the user (including members and employers).	6
54	СОМЗ	Employer doesn't understand or carry out their legal responsibilities under relevant legislation.	12
55	COM4	Apathy from members and employers if communication is irrelevant or lacks impact leading to uninformed users.	9
56	COM5	Employers don't meet their statutory requirements leading to possible reporting of breaches to the Pension Regulator.	8
57	COM6	Lack of information from Employers impacts on the administration of the Fund, places strain on the partnership between Fund and Employer.	12

	LEGISLATION				
18	LEG1	Failure to adhere to LGPS legislation (including regulations, order from the Secretary of State and any updates from The Pension Regulator) leading to financial or reputational damage	5		
19	LEG2	Lack of access to appropriate legislation, best practice or guidance could lead to the Fund acting illegally.	5		
20	LEG3	Lack of skills or resource to understand complex regulatory changes or understand their impact.	8		

Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk
No			Ranking
21	LEG4	Risk that LGPS legislation regarding the benefits framework for the scheme changes significantly (and possibly at short notice) leading to increased fund liabilities	
21	LEG5	Risk of legislation change post Brexit having negative impact on the fund	12

Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk
No			Ranking

		ACCOUNTING	
23	ACC1	The Pension Fund Statement of Accounts does not represent a true and fair view of the Fund's financing and assets.	5
24	ACC2	Internal controls are not in place to protect against fruad/mismanagement.	5
25	ACC3	The Fund does not have in place a robust internal monitoring and reconciliation process leading to incorrect figures in the accounts.	8
26	ACC4	Market value of assets recorded in the Statement of Accounts is incorrect leading to a material misstatement and potentially a qualified audit opinion.	10
27	ACC5	Inadequate monitoring of income (contributions) leading to cash flow problems.	4
28	ACC6	Rate of contributions from employers' in the Fund is not in line with what is specified in actuarial ratings and adjustment certificate potentially leading to an increased funding deficit or surplus.	5
29	ACC7	The fund fails to recover adhoc /miscellaneous income adding to the deficit.	8
30	ACC8	Transfers out increase significantly as members transfer to DC funds to access cash through new pension freedoms.	12

		FUNDING/LIABILITY	
58	FLI1	Funding Strategy and Investment considered in isolation by Officers, Committee and their separate actuarial and investment advisors	10
59	FLI2	Inappropriate Funding Strategy set at Fund and employer level despite being considered in conjunction with Investment Strategy.	10
60	FLI3	Inappropriate Investment and Funding Strategy set that increases risk of future contribution rate increases.	10
61	FLI4	Processes not in place to capture or failure to correctly understand changes to risk characteristics of employers and adapting investment/funding strategies.	10
62	FLI5	Processes not in place to capture or review when an employer may be leaving the LGPS.	10
63	FLI6	Processes not in place to capture or review funding levels as employer approaches exiting the LGPS.	10
64	FLI7	Investment strategy is static, inflexible and does not meet employers and the Fund's objectives.	5
65	FLI8	Process not in place to ensure new employers admitted to the scheme have appropriate guarantor or bond in place.	5
66	FLI9	Level of bond not reviewed in light of change in employers pension liabilities.	8

Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk
No			Ranking

Risk No	Cat Ref	-	Risk Ranking
67	FLI10	Processes not in place to capture or review covenant of individual employers.	8
68		Processes not in place to capture and understand changes in key issues that drive changes to pension liabilities.	5

		ADMINISTRATION	
31	ADM1	Failure to act within the appropriate legislative and policy	10
		framework could lead to illegal actions by the Fund and also	
		complaints against the Fund.	
32	ADM2	Pension structure is inappropriate to deliver a first class	5
		service	
33	ADM3	Insufficiently trained or experienced staff leading to	12
		knowledge gaps	
34	ADM4	Failure of pension administration system resulting in loss of	5
		records and incorrect pension benefits being paid or delays to	
		payment.	
35	ADM5	Failure to pay pension benefits accurately leading to under or	8
		over payments.	
36	ADM6	Failure of pension payroll system resulting in pensioners not	8
		being paid in a timely manner.	
37	ADM7	Not dealing properly with complaints leading to escalation	8
		that ends ultimately with the ombudsman	
38	ADM8	Data protection procedures non-existent or insufficient	10
		leading to poor security for member data	
39	ADM9	Loss of funds through fraud or misappropriation by officers	5
		leading to negative impact on reputation of the Fund as well	
		as financial loss.	



Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk Ranking
40		Officers do not have appropriate skills and knowledge to perform their roles resulting in the service not being provided in line with best practice and legal requirements. Succession planning is not in place leading to reduction of knowledge when an officer leaves.	10

Risk	Cat Ref	Risk	Risk
No			Ranking

			ADMINISTRATION					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Likeli- hood	Proba- bility	Respon- sibility	Timescale
31	ADM1	Failure to act within the appropriate legislative and policy framework could lead to illegal actions by the Fund and also complaints against the Fund.	Ensure staff are adequately trained. Appropriate checking processes. Professional advice. Close working with other Funds. Policies kept up to date and discussed at PCF.	5	2	10	PCB; HoCF; HoP; PAM	Ongoing
32	ADM2	Pension structure is inappropriate to deliver a first class service	New structure implemented from October 2016. Officers feel the new structure is functioning well, and that having all pensions staff in one team rather than split between HR and Finance is beneficial. The objectives of the pensions teams are being met.	5	1	5	HoP; PAM	Ongoing

			ADMINISTRATION					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Likeli- hood	Proba- bility	Respon- sibility	Timescale
33	ADM3	Insufficiently trained or experienced staff leading to knowledge gaps	Training programme for staff including CPD qualification in some places. Regular briefings and updates on LGPS changes from CIPFA and other training providers. Staff in pensions administration and investments/accounting attend events, conferences and training sessions. The Head of Pensions, and Senior Pensions Accountants are both CCAB qualified accountants who complete annual CPD requirements.		3	12	DoF; HoP	Ongoing
34	ADM4	Failure of pension administration system resulting in loss of records and incorrect pension benefits being paid or delays to payment.	Pensioner administration system Altair is subject to daily software backups and off-site duplication of records. The business recovery plan once implemented allows the pension administration system to be run from an alternative site.	5	1	5	PAM	Ongoing

			ADMINISTRATION					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Likeli- hood	Proba- bility	Respon- sibility	Timescale
35	ADM5	Failure to pay pension benefits accurately leading to under or over payments.	The pension administration system, Altair, allows for all pensioner benefits to be automatically calculated by the administration system.	4	2	8	PAM	Ongoing
			Pension benefits payments are double checked by another team member before payments released. They are also checked by the Pensions Manger and Head of Pensions or S151 Officer before payments are authorised on SAP.					
36	ADM6	Failure of pension payroll system resulting in pensioners not being paid in a timely manner.	Pensioner payroll system is subject to daily software backups and off-site duplication of records. The business recovery plan once implemented allows the pension administration system to be run from an alternative site.	4	2	8	PAM	Ongoing
37	ADM7	Not dealing properly with complaints leading to escalation that ends ultimately with the ombudsman	The Fund has an Internal Dispute Resolution Policy (IDRP) which has been approved by the Committee. This was last approved in March 2019. In attempting to resolve any complaints by members, the IDRP will guide officers to ensure that due process is applied through out the process.	4	2	8	PCB; HoP; PAM	Ongoing

			ADMINISTRATION					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Likeli- hood	Proba- bility	Respon- sibility	Timescale
38	ADM8	Data protection procedures non- existent or insufficient leading to poor security for member data	The Council's data protection policy is issued to and signed by all staff. The Council has in place a system that ensures pension fund data is sufficiently protected. Staff trained in data protection and regularly reminded of its importance.	5	2	10	HoP; PAM	Ongoing
39	ADM9	Loss of funds through fraud or misappropriation by officers leading to negative impact on reputation of the Fund as well as financial loss.	Robust accounting checks and adherence with best practice including undertaking regular reconciliation of payments undertaken or received into the Fund.	5	1	5	НоР	Ongoing

			ADMINISTRATION					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Likeli-	Proba-	Respon-	Timescale
					hood	bility	sibility	
40	ADM10	Officers do not have appropriate skills and knowledge to perform their roles resulting in the service not being provided in line with best practice and legal requirements. Succession planning is not in place leading to reduction of knowledge when an officer leaves.	The selection process for recruiting officers is rigorous and focussed on the requirements of the role. Also detailed job descriptions/person specification are used to wittle down and appoint officers with the right level of skills, knowledge and experience. Training/Personal Development plans are put in place for each staff member following annual performance appraisal. Results of recent My Conversation appraisals within the department have been positive.	5	2	10	НоР	Ongoing

		COMM	IUNICATIONS: RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWO	RK				
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
52		Members don't make an informed decision when exercising their pension options whilst employers cannot make informed decisions when exercising their discretions leading to possible complaints and appeals against the Fund	Communication Strategy in place that outlines the most appropriate mode of communication and how the Fund will communicate with all stakeholders including its members and employers. Member provided with explanatory notes and guidance to enable them to make informed decision and given access to further pension support.	4	3	12	PAM; HoP	Ongoing
53	COM2	technical leading to a lack of	Members and Employers are provided with explanatory notes, factsheets, access to a pension help desk and a dedicated Communications Team. In addition the Fund's website provides a one stop shop for information about the Scheme and benefits.	3	2	6	PAM; HoP	Ongoing
54		Employer doesn't understand or carry out their legal responsibilities under relevant legislation.	Ensure information communicated to Employers is clear and relevant by using simple understandable wording. Where available use standard template/information from the LGA.	4	3	12	PAM; HoP	Ongoing

Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Current Controls	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
55	COM4	communication is irrelevant or lacks impact leading to uninformed users.	Ensure all communication and literature is up to date and relevant and reflects the latest position within the pensions environment including LGPS regulations and other relevant overriding legislation.	3	3	9	PAM; HoP	Ongoing
56	COM5	Employers don't meet their statutory requirements leading to possible reporting of breaches to the Pension Regulator.	Provide training to employers that is specific to their roles and responsibilities in the LGPS. Employer access to a portal with regular updates in line with legislation. The Pensions Manager and other staff carry out site visits to employers as necessary to provide information and training to them.	4	2	8	PAM; HoP	Ongoing
57	COM6	l ·	All forms available on our website and Employer has access to specialist support from Fund Officers.	4	3	12	PAM; HoP	Ongoing

			RED RATED RISKS					
Risk No	Cat Ref	Risk	Controls/Mitigations	Impact	Proba- bility	Overall Risk Rating	Respon- sibility	Timescale
2	GOV2	Frequent and/or extensive turnover of committee members causing a loss of technical and operational knowledge about the Fund and an inexperienced Committee/Board.	The nature of Council appointees to the Fund means that there is likely to be some annual turnover of appointments to the Pensions Committee. However, Full Council through Democratic Services has been made aware of the consequences of constant turnover of Pensions Committee members, and the outgoing Committee and Board of April 2018 wrote to the Chief Whips of both parties in relation to this. A comprehensive training programme that is in line with CIPFA guideine/The Pension Regulator has been developed and is continously reviewed/updated. Training needs analyses undertaken annually to identify knowledge gaps and training programme adapted accordingly New members required to complete The Pensions Regulators public service toolkit modules as a minimum requirement. All members are encouraged to attend training events (internal/external) to ensure all have adequate knowledge to perform duties as trustees of the Fund.	4	4	16	РСВ; НоР	Ongoing, but review in May 2019

3 LEG4	Risk that LGPS legislation regarding the benefits framework for the scheme changes significantly (and possibly at short notice) leading to increased fund liabilities	There are currently judicial reviews in the Judges and Firefighters pension schemes, which will potentially impact on all public sector schemes, and could potentially impact on the new career average benefits frameworks put in place in 2014 in LGPS. Officers will remain abreast of this situation and keep members informed.	4	4	16	CFO; HoP; PAM	Ongoing
51 INV11	The risk that the investment strategy adopted by London CIV through fund manager appointments does not fully meet the needs of the Fund.	The Fund is a founding member of London CIV and actively engages with them. The CIV is undertaking a Governance review which has yet to be implemented in full, so it is unclear exactly how Haringey members and officers will be represented within the CIV's new governance structures. The CIV has to reach consensus among its 32 funds, there is therefore a persistent risk that the full complement of mandates in the Fund may not be replicated by London CIV. However, there is acknowledgement within LGPS that more niche illiquid mandates will not transition into the pools due to the inefficiencies involved. Haringey has had a number of interactions with the CIV, in relation to fund managers, which have been generally positive. Haringey has benefited from fee savings, and has a number of investments that are either via the CIV or under the CIV's oversight.	5	3	15	НоР	Ongoing